Pilates as physiotherapy in patients with Parkinson disease: a pilot study
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ABSTRACT

Background. Pilates improves core muscle function and lumbopelvic stability. The basic principles of Pilates are concentration, control, centring, diaphragmatic breathing, lightness, precision, strength, and relaxation. This study aims to determine the effects of Pilates on clinical symptoms and static balance in patients with Parkinson disease (PD).

Methods: Of 36 patients with PD, 15 (mean age, 73.5 years) who had a Hoehn and Yahr stage of 1 to 3 and a stable reaction to anti-Parkinson medication were randomly allocated to the Pilates group (n=8) or conventional exercise group (n=7). Participants were assessed 1 week before intervention (week 0) and 1 and 4 weeks after intervention completed (weeks 15 and 18). Motor and non-motor impairment and disability were assessed using the Spanish version of the Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS). Static balance was assessed using the Stabilometric platform. Participants were instructed to stand centrally on the platform with feet hip-width apart and arms at their sides and with open eyes and closed eyes each for 30 s. Parameters recorded included centre of pressure of the body in the frontal plane (COP X), centre of pressure of the body in the sagittal plane (COP Y), speed of oscillation of the centre of pressure in the sagittal plane (forward-backward) [F-B speed], speed of oscillation of the centre of pressure in the frontal plane (medium-lateral) [M-L speed], and displacement area of the centre of pressure (ellipse area).

Results: All 15 participants attended over 80% of the sessions. From pre-intervention to post-intervention, participants in the Pilates group had significant improvements in MDS-UPDRS, open eyes COP X, open eyes COP Y, open eyes ellipse area, and closed eyes COP Y. Whereas participants in the conventional exercise group had significant improvement in open eyes COP Y and closed eyes COP Y but had significant deterioration in open eyes F-B speed and closed eyes F-B speed. Compared with the conventional exercise group, the Pilates group had significantly greater improvement in all parameters except for body mass index, closed eyes ellipse area, and M-L speed. From post-intervention to follow-up, participants in both groups lost most of the improvement in MDS-UPDRS and in static balance parameters. Compared with the conventional exercise group, the Pilates group had significantly greater improvement in MDS-UPDRS, open eyes COP X, closed eyes COP X, and closed eyes COP Y.

Conclusion: Pilates exercise is a useful rehabilitation strategy for people with mild to moderate PD, with a positive effect on motor impairment and static balance.
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INTRODUCTION

Parkinson disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder characterised by motor, cognitive, affective, autonomic, and sensory impairments secondary to selective degeneration of neurons at multiple levels of the central and peripheral nervous systems. The cardinal features of PD are bradykinesia, rigidity, tremors, and gait impairment; all these lead to progressive loss of functional independence. Altered balance and postural control are disabling and poorly responsive to standard pharmacological treatment for motor symptoms.

Exercise is a useful non-pharmacological alternative to improve postural instability, motor impairment, and balance task performance, leading to a slower progression of PD. Various types of exercise in or out of water such as aerobic exercise, gait training, balance training, and progressive resistance training have shown beneficial effects on different symptoms (motor and nonmotor). Aquatic activity is safe and beneficial for motor function, mobility, balance, flexibility, and cardiorespiratory endurance in patients with PD, but more randomised controlled studies are needed to ratify this. Further research that includes a follow-up phase and objective balance measurements is needed.

Pilates can improve balance of persons with neurological conditions. Pilates improves flexibility and axial stability through strengthening the core musculature. Pilates is based on coordinated movement sequences rather than simple repetitive movements as in other exercises. Pilates is a useful rehabilitation strategy for PD. Short-term Pilates exercises can significantly improve walking and balance in older adults. Both Pilates and balance exercises have significant effects on balance, especially dynamic balance, on unstable surfaces among old women, but Pilates is safer and simpler to learn. In older patients with PD who have higher rates of imbalance and falls, such exercises can improve motor functions and balance.

To the best of our knowledge, only one study reported on the effects of Pilates on balance in patients with PD, but the study had a small sample size and no conventional exercise group. Other studies combined Pilates as part of exercise interventions, and specific effects were not provided. Two studies that applied Pilates as strength training reported significant effects on lower limb strength, dynamic balance, and quality of life in patients with PD. We believe that Pilates has a significant effect on static balance, because it strengthens core muscles and increases awareness of them. This study aims to determine the effects of Pilates on clinical symptoms and static balance in patients with PD.

METHODS

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Vigo, Pontevedra, Spain (reference: EC2015/484). Informed consent was obtained from each participant. Of 36 patients with PD recruited from the Provincial Parkinson Association of Pontevedra, Spain, 15 who had a Hoehn and Yahr stage of 1 to 3 (mean, 2.40; standard deviation, 0.65) and a stable reaction to anti-Parkinson medication were included. They were randomly allocated to the Pilates group (n=8) or conventional exercise group (n=7) [Figure 1]. The sample size was calculated based on a study on static balance (d=1.86, r=0.68). To achieve a statistical power of 0.80 and a significance level of 0.05, six patients with PD in each group were required. Assuming a 20% dropout rate, at least 14 participants were required.

Participants were assessed 1 week before intervention (week 0) and 1 and 4 weeks after intervention completed (weeks 15 and 18). Neither group took physical exercise during the follow-up period.

Participants were assessed for motor and non-motor impairment and disability 2 hours after taking PD medications by a physiotherapist using the Spanish version of the Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS). The MDS-UPDRS comprises four parts: non-motor aspects of experiences of daily living, motor aspects of experiences of daily living, motor examination, and motor complications. Each item scores from 0 (normal) to 4 (severe). The total score ranges from 0 to 200; higher scores indicate greater PD symptoms.

Participants were assessed for static balance during the ‘on’ phase (1 to 1.5 hours after taking PD
medications) by two physiotherapists specialised in neurological rehabilitation using the Stabilometric platform (TecnoBody PROKIN PK 3 P, Bergamo, Italy). The platform has a diameter of 40 cm, with three strain gauges placed at 120°. These sensors are auto-calibrated and have 50 g of maximal resolution. Data were acquired in a frequency of 20Hz and presented as a statokinesiogram, an axial stabilogram, and a Fourier transformation. Participants were instructed to stand centrally on the platform with feet hip-width apart and arms at their sides and with open eyes and closed eyes each for 30 s. The mean of three attempts was recorded, with a 60-s interval of rest in between. Parameters recorded included (1) centre of pressure of the body in the frontal plane (COP X), (2) centre of pressure of the body in the sagittal plane (COP Y), (3) speed of oscillation of the centre of pressure in the sagittal plane (forward-backward) [F-B speed], (4) speed of oscillation of the centre of pressure in the frontal plane (medium-lateral) [M-L speed], and (5) displacement area of the centre of pressure (ellipse area). Measurements further away from the centre indicate worse static stability.

In the first 2 weeks of intervention, participants received two sessions each week of conventional exercise for 50 minutes to improve their aerobic capacity, muscular resistance, balance, and flexibility. The exercise combined land-based and water-based sessions and has been applied by the association since 2016. During the second week, participants were able to go from standing to sitting and from sitting to lying with help. In the third week, participants were randomised to receive Pilates exercise or conventional exercise for the following 12 weeks.

The Pilates exercise was developed and supervised by two experienced physiotherapists. Two sessions (one on a mat and the other in standing and sitting positions) each of 60 minutes were performed per week. Because of mobility deficits of patients, the Pilates exercise was designed to not generate continuous changes in body position. Participants started with warm-up exercises (for 5 minutes) to enable stimulation of bodily awareness, lateral ribcage breathing, correct alignment of the cervical spine, and neutral pelvic placement, followed by strengthening exercises for transversus...
abdominis and pelvic floor muscles. The main part of the session included 45 minutes of strength, mobility, and coordination exercises for upper and lower limbs in different positions. Intensity was progressively increased by adding/removing elastic bands to increase resistance or remove assistance to the movement. Each exercise included one set of three to five repetitions. No rest time was allowed between repetitions, as two exercises of the same muscle group were never performed in a row, and exercises alternated between the upper limbs and the lower limbs. At the end of the session, 10 minutes of cooling down activities such as gentle stretching and deep breathing exercises were carried out.

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to verify normal distribution. The unpaired Student’s t-test was applied to check the homogeneity of the sample; the two groups differed in baseline open eyes COP Y, closed eyes COP Y, and open eyes ellipse area. The paired Student’s t-test was applied to evaluate intragroup differences in changes from pre- to post-intervention and from post-intervention to follow-up. The analysis of variance was used to determine the intergroup differential effect. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

All 15 participants attended over 80% of sessions. The Pilates and conventional exercise groups were comparable in terms of sociodemographic characteristics (Table 1), but the two groups differed in baseline open eyes COP Y, closed eyes COP Y, and open eyes ellipse area.

From pre-intervention to post-intervention, participants in the Pilates group had significant improvements in MDS-UPDRS (58.38±18.01 vs 43.25±11.07, p<0.001), open eyes COP X (-3.28±13.28 mm vs -0.75±10.44 mm, p=0.032), open eyes COP Y (6.19±21.80 mm vs -3.13±21.73 mm, p<0.001), open eyes ellipse area (880.06±980.88 mm² vs 483.94±395.55 mm², p<0.001), and closed eyes COP Y (9.62±22.86 mm vs 6.63±17.94 mm, p=0.015) [Table 2 & Figure 2]. Whereas participants in the conventional exercise group had significant deterioration in body mass index (29.25±2.94 kg/m² vs 30.12±3.31 kg/m², p<0.001), MDS-UPDRS (43.25±11.07 vs 59.13±17.97, p<0.001), open eyes COP X (-0.75±3.36 mm vs 1.43±5.10 mm, p<0.001), open eyes COP Y (3.13±1.56 mm vs 10.19±5.65 mm, p<0.001), closed eyes COP X (-3.50±1.56 mm vs -8.81±4.17 mm, p<0.001), closed eyes COP Y (6.63±3.37 mm vs 7.13±4.89 mm, p=0.030), and closed eyes M-L speed (15.69±1.87 mm/s vs 17.56±3.55 mm/s, p=0.049) but maintained the performance in open eyes ellipse area (483.94±395.55 mm² vs 950.63±192.87 mm², p=0.348), open eyes F-B speed (13.59±8.48 mm/s vs 15.75±20.09 mm/s, p=0.111), closed eyes ellipse area (817.24±750.00 mm² vs 1450.00±329.64 mm², p=0.333), and closed eyes F-B speed (21.69±17.56 mm/s vs 22.78±15.34 mm/s, p=0.278) [Table 2 & Figure 2]. Whereas participants in the conventional exercise group had significant deterioration in open eyes COP Y (15.63±9.50 mm/s vs 16.13±11.97 mm/s, p=0.041) and closed eyes F-B speed (23.41±17.02 mm/s vs 23.53±11.98 mm/s, p=0.041) [Table 2 & Figure 2]. Compared with the conventional exercise group, the Pilates group had significantly greater improvement in MDS-UPDRS (F2,41=5.347, p=0.026), open eyes COP X (F2,41=4.678, p=0.013), open eyes COP Y (F2,41=4.111, p=0.021), open eyes ellipse area (F2,41=4.228, p=0.026), open eyes F-B speed (F2,41=2.945, p=0.037), open eyes M-L speed (F2,41=2.897, p=0.023), closed eyes COP X (F2,41=3.221, p=0.041), closed eyes COP Y (F2,41=4.122, p=0.003), and closed eyes F-B speed (F2,41=3.146, p=0.041) [Table 2].

From post-intervention to follow-up, participants in the Pilates group had significant deterioration in body mass index (29.25±2.94 kg/m² vs 30.12±3.31 kg/m², p<0.001), MDS-UPDRS (32.54±4.65 kg/m² vs 32.85±5.11 kg/m², p<0.001), open eyes COP Y (15.17±18.12 mm vs 16.31±19.76 mm, p=0.034), and closed eyes COP Y (19.73±22.54 mm vs 19.75±20.43 mm, p=0.009) but had significant improvement in open eyes COP X (-5.80±3.54 mm vs -8.06±3.50 mm, p=0.036) and closed eyes COP X (-7.10±6.32 mm vs -7.59±8.67 mm, p=0.040) [Table 2 & Figure 2]. Compared with the conventional exercise group, the Pilates group had significant improvement in MDS-UPDRS (F2,41=5.597, p=0.015), open eyes COP X (F2,41=4.134, p=0.032), open eyes COP Y (F2,41=4.123, p=0.033), closed eyes...
COP X ($F_{2.41} = 5.105, p = 0.013$), and closed eyes COP Y ($F_{2.41} = 6.234, p = 0.001$) [Table 2].

**DISCUSSION**

Pilates exercise significantly improved clinical symptoms (MDS-UPDRS) of patients with PD, whereas conventional exercise did not. This may be due to the effect of Pilates on motor control. Pilates exercise strengthens core muscles (such as transversus abdominis, internal oblique, diaphragm, lumbar multifidus, and pelvic floor muscles) that stabilise the lumbopelvic system, which plays a critical role in the control of trunk movement.\(^{20}\) Similarly, Pilates helps to stimulate motor learning and enable better body movement control.\(^{24}\) Pilates improves trunk and lower body muscular strength, which are strongly related to physical function, physical impairment, and disability.\(^{25}\) Pilates improves the ability to perform activities of daily living in persons with multiple sclerosis.\(^{26}\)

Pilates exercise significantly improved static balance in our patients with PD. A similar finding was reported in patients with multiple sclerosis.\(^{27}\) Body awareness training leads to balance improvements.\(^{28}\) People with poor body awareness and maladaptive movement patterns may benefit from Pilates exercises.\(^{29}\) Pilates is effective in increasing body awareness in sedentary women by

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex/age, y</th>
<th>Body mass index, kg/m²</th>
<th>Hoehn &amp;Yahr stage</th>
<th>Time since diagnosis, y</th>
<th>Education level</th>
<th>Dopamine dose equivalent, mg/day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pilates group (n=8)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.25±7.01</td>
<td>28.55±2.83</td>
<td>2.38±0.52</td>
<td>8.00±6.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/80</td>
<td>28.68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 600 mg, carbidopa 125 g, entacapone 600 mg, rotigotine 8 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/75</td>
<td>25.22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>University</td>
<td>Levodopa 400 mg, carbidopa 100 mg, rotigotine 4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/80</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Levodopa 500 mg, carbidopa 125 mg, entacapone 400 mg, rasagiline 1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/63</td>
<td>30.04</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/79</td>
<td>25.68</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 500 mg, carbidopa 125 mg, entacapone 400 mg, rasagiline 1 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/69</td>
<td>26.84</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/64</td>
<td>33.15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/76</td>
<td>27.28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conventional exercise group (n=7)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.71±7.52</td>
<td>32.54±4.65</td>
<td>2.43±0.79</td>
<td>8.29±4.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/69</td>
<td>28.72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/74</td>
<td>29.86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/83</td>
<td>26.62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Vocational training</td>
<td>Levodopa 600 mg, carbidopa 125 g, entacapone 600 mg, rotigotine 8 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/60</td>
<td>34.77</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Levodopa 900 mg, carbidopa 225 g, entacapone 800 mg, pramipexole 3.15 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/80</td>
<td>40.37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Levodopa 600 mg, carbidopa 125 g, entacapone 600 mg, rotigotine 8 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/75</td>
<td>32.36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>Levodopa 400 mg, carbidopa 100 mg, rotigotine 4 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/75</td>
<td>35.09</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Levodopa 600 mg, carbidopa 125 g, entacapone 600 mg, rotigotine 8 mg, safinamide 100 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2
Effects of Pilates and conventional exercise on clinical symptoms and static balance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-intervention to post-intervention</th>
<th>Post-intervention to follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intragroup analysis</td>
<td>Intergroup analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pilates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional exercise</td>
<td>0.70±0.96, p=0.076</td>
<td>0.3±1.29, p=0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open eyes COP X, mm²</td>
<td>15.13±10.87, p=0.001</td>
<td>3.83±7.03, p=0.332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open eyes ellipse area, mm²</td>
<td>13.06±27.96, p=0.001</td>
<td>5.33±5.49, p=0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open eyes F-B speed, mm/s</td>
<td>0.50±0.65, p=0.056</td>
<td>0.75±0.27, p=0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open eyes M-L speed, mm/s</td>
<td>0.50±0.65, p=0.210</td>
<td>0.75±0.52, p=0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed eyes COP X, mm²</td>
<td>0.31±16.92, p=0.099</td>
<td>5.00±7.94, p=0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed eyes COP Y, mm²</td>
<td>7.88±19.45, p=0.015</td>
<td>12.42±7.96, p=0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed eyes ellipse area, mm²</td>
<td>13.06±27.96, p=0.001</td>
<td>5.33±5.49, p=0.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed eyes F-B speed, mm/s</td>
<td>11.06±32.34, p=0.058</td>
<td>10.92±13.57, p=0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closed eyes M-L speed, mm/s</td>
<td>3.91±16.92, p=0.005</td>
<td>9.62±10.66, p=0.022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** ↓, deterioration; ↑, improvement; COP X, centre of pressure of the body in the frontal plane; COP Y, centre of pressure of the body in the sagittal plane; F-B speed, speed of oscillation movement of the centre of pressure in the sagittal plane (forward-backward); and M-L speed, speed of oscillation movement of the centre of pressure in the frontal plane (medium-lateral).
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stimulating interoceptive channels that contribute to the perception of the body and movements. Proprioception plays a key role in postural stability, as adequate balance relies on the accurate perception of physical stimuli provided by the somatosensory and vestibular systems. Therefore, the positive effects of Pilates on static balance could be due to enhanced sensorimotor mapping of body movements (locomotor and vestibular). Specific muscles responsible for balance were stimulated by Pilates exercise. A Pilates programme focusing on core body exercises and breathing control facilitates the activation of deep trunk muscles (ie, transversus abdominis, diaphragm, and multifidus) and pelvic floor muscles and thus improves postural stability. Increased muscular fitness leads to core stability and strength. Nonetheless, in the present study, not all stabilometer parameters improved significantly after Pilates exercise. This may be because the Pilates exercise was performed in a seated or supine position, and limited balance stimulation was invoked during exercise in the standing position.

In a study of 10 patients with PD, 6 weeks of Pilates exercise significantly improved motor impairment but not static balance. This may be due to the short duration of the programme and the difference type of Pilates exercises. In the present study, the beneficial effects of Pilates disappeared shortly after the intervention ended. This may be because participants were told not to exercise during the follow-up period. Indeed, their body mass index significantly increased at follow-up, suggesting a lack of physical activity. In contrast, in a study of community-dwelling older people, significant improvements in static balance were maintained 5 weeks after the intervention ended. Similarly, in patients with PD taking part in exercise interventions, motor and balance improvements did not usually return to baseline levels at follow-up.

Participants in the conventional exercise group did not have significant improvement in clinical symptoms or static balance after the intervention, although conventional exercise had been reported to have positive effects on motor impairments and balance and to be a useful rehabilitation strategy in patients with PD. The lack of improvement in the conventional exercise group could be due to a ceiling effect on participants, as most participants had regularly taken physical activity programmes organised by the association and thus conventional exercise may not provide new stimulus to participants.

There were limitations to the study. The sample size was small and the participants were not representative of patients with PD in terms of participation in exercise and active lifestyle. Analysis of variance was used, but multivariate analysis of variance may be more appropriate, because patients with different stages of PD were included and the main variable was static balance.
These methodological weak points might limit generalisation of the findings.

CONCLUSION

Pilates exercise is a useful rehabilitation strategy for people with mild to moderate PD, with positive effect on motor impairment and static balance. Further study with a more representative sample is needed to confirm these results.
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